



Planning & Economic Development Overview and Scrutiny Panel

Commencing: 6.00pm
30 March 2005
Bourne Hill
Salisbury

MINUTES

Present

Councillor P D Edge (Chairman)
Councillor Mrs Chettleburgh
Councillor W R Moss
Councillor L Randall
Councillor Mrs C A Spencer
Councillor I R Tomes

In Attendance

E Teagle (Head of Forward Planning)
J Meeker (Forward Planning)
J Iles (Forward Planning)
D Windsor (Forward Planning)
S Milton (Democratic Services)
S Draper (Democratic Services)

Apologies

Councillor Councillor Ms S C Mallory
Councillor Mrs Green
Councillor A G Peach

Public/Observers

0

117 Public Questions/Statements

There were no public questions or statements

118 Councillor Questions/Statements

There were no Councillor questions or statements

119 Minutes

The minutes of the meeting held on 14th February 2005 were agreed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

120 Declarations of Interest

There were no declarations of interest.

121 Sustainability Supplementary Planning Guidance

The Panel considered the previously circulated report of the Planning Officer Forward Planning.

The members were very happy with the revised document and felt that the officers had produced a very good leaflet.

RESOLVED – That

1. the summary of consultation responses be noted.
2. it be recommended to Cabinet that it be adopted as Supplementary Planning Guidance.

122 Panel Forward Work Programme 2005/6

The Panel considered the previously circulated report of the Assistant Democratic Services Officer together with the draft worksheets from the Principal Democratic Services Officer.

RESOLVED – That it be recommended to the Overview and Scrutiny Co-Ordinating Committee that the reviews attached as Annex A form the 2005/6 work programme for the Panel together with the completion of the Churchfields Review and the hotel review which are ongoing from 2004/5.

The meeting concluded at 7.30pm

RURAL WELLBEING SCRUTINY REVIEW SCOPING PAPER

Reporting to:	Planning & Economic Development Overview & Scrutiny Panel
Full Title of Review :	Sustainable Rural Communities in South Wiltshire: The role of planning policy in protecting and enhancing community facilities and employment opportunities in rural areas.
Short title of Review	Rural Wellbeing
Terms of Reference :	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To identify which particular facilities contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of rural communities from both a strategic (theoretical) and local (real views) perspective 2. To review the effectiveness of the present policies in the Local Plan in protecting and enhancing social and economic activity. 3. To examine how planning policy elsewhere has been used to protect and promote such facilities in rural settlements. 4. To identify key principles which should underpin new LDF policies. 5. To identify needs which could justify requirements for developer contributions. 6. To identify other (non-planning) options available to maintain, assist and supplement economic and social activity in rural communities.
Panel Members :	Councillor Moss (lead member), Cllr Mrs Spencer, A member from the C&H Panel (TBC) Rural shop keepers and business owners. Parish Representative.
Scrutiny Support Officer	Sara Draper, Democratic Services
Lead Technical Officer	John Meeker, Principal Planning Officer Forward Planning
Responsible Policy Director	David Crook
Research Methodology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Examination of the existing Local Plan policies. • Comparisons with best practice from local authorities. • Interviewing witnesses e.g parish councils, rural businesses, representatives of community groups. • Public consultation – possibly through People’s Voice • Desktop Analysis: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Which facilities are most at risk? ✓ How can the District Council help to provide additional community facilities? ✓ National Trends ✓ The local situation ✓ Best practice and current thinking • Case studies
Budget	£500
Resource Implications	Interviews – 2 days Visits – 2 days Desktop Research – 6 days Collate evidence from above – 10 days

Critical Dates

Meeting to consider existing policies – September 2005

Meeting to consider results from People's Voice – October 2005

Interview sessions – November 2005

Visit to community centres and other community facilities – December 2005

Meeting to consider final report – February 2006

CCTV SCRUTINY REVIEW SCOPING PAPER

Reporting to:	Planning & Economic Development Overview & Scrutiny Panel
Full Title of Review:	The future of CCTV in South Wiltshire
Short title of Review	CCTV
Terms of Reference :	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To review the purpose, focus, operation and effectiveness of CCTV in South Wiltshire. 2. To identify public attitudes towards CCTV. 3. To identify the requirements of other agencies (such as the Police, businesses, etc) in relation to CCTV. 4. To identify demand for additional coverage and the associated resources. 5. To consider what technological and other resource investments will be required over the short, medium and longer term.
Panel Members :	<p>Councillor Edge (lead member), Cllr Mrs Chettleburgh, A member from the C&H Panel (other expressions of interest welcomed), A representative of City Centre Management Partnership.</p>
Scrutiny Support Officer	Sara Draper, Democratic Services
Lead Technical Officer	Eric Teagle, Head of Forward Planning and Transportation
Responsible Policy Director	David Crook
Research Methodology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consideration of a consultants report. • Comparisons with other local authorities. Visits to control centers. • Interviewing witnesses e.g public service providers (police, fire), local businesses • Public consultation – possibly through People’s Voice • Desktop Analysis – Costs associated with extending the service provision and future investment in technology • Desktop Analysis: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Costs of current system against national comparisons ✓ Effectiveness in terms of detection of crime and deterrent effect ✓ How can the District Council help to provide additional community facilities? ✓ National Trends ✓ The local situation ✓ Best practice and current thinking • Case studies
Budget	£1,500
Resource Implications	<p>Interviews – 2 days Visits – 2 days Desktop Research – 10 days Collate evidence from above – 10 days</p>
Critical Dates	<p>Meeting to consider consultants report – May 2005 Interview sessions – June 2005 Visit to control center or other local authority – July 2005 Meeting to consider results from People’s Voice – September 2005 Meeting to consider final report – November 2005</p>